

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE Guired States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bec 1459 Alexanders, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uppleagov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/870,397	05/31/2001	Yoshiki Nakagawa	21581/0271	8066
75	590 10/27/2003		EXAMINER	
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP			MOORE, MARGARET G	
Suite 800 1990 M Street, N.W.			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	C 20036-3425		1712	

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		09/870,397	NAKAGAWA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication can	Margaret G. Moore	1712			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 C	october 2003 .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) 🗌						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 13 to 22 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 to 22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
/-	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/870,397

Art Unit: 1712

1. The Examiner notes that applicants' amendment overcomes the rejection over Antonelli for reasons of record. As such, the Examiner updated the search and a new references, necessitating the following new grounds for rejection.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 13 to 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kusakabe et al.

Kusakabe et al. teach a process for preparing (meth)acrylic polymers having either functional alkenyl or functional silyl groups at the chain ends. See for instance Examples 15 and 16. These prepare butyl acrylate polymers (meeting claims 17 and 18) having a dispersity within the claimed range (note particularly Example 15 which meets claims 14 to 16). The molecular weight of these polymers is within the claimed range. While Kusakabe et al. do not specifically indicate the number average molecular weight, one can readily determine (based on dispersity) that, in both of these polymers, the number average molecular weight will inherently fall within the claimed range.

With regards to claim 20, this step is shown in the examples noted supra. With regards to claim 19, while patentees do not specifically use the phrase atom transfer radical polymerization, based on how this polymerization is defined on page 13 of the instant specification, this is the process used by Kusakabe et al. Note for instance column 3, lines 55 to 62.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 703-

Application/Control Number: 09/870,397

Art Unit: 1712

308-4334. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson can be reached on 703-308-2340. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Margarez 6.7000i Primary Examiner Art Unit/1712

mgm 10/15/03